

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT CHATTANOOGA**

ROBERT EUGENE HULAN,)	
)	Case No. 1:19-cv-5
<i>Plaintiff,</i>)	
)	Judge Travis R. McDonough
v.)	
)	Magistrate Judge Christopher H. Steger
COFFEE COUNTY MUNICIPAL et al.,)	
)	
<i>Defendants.</i>)	

ORDER

On March 4, 2019, United States Magistrate Judge Christopher H. Steger filed his Report and Recommendation (Doc. 6) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). Magistrate Judge Steger concluded that Plaintiff's complaint does not state a claim for which relief can be granted and accordingly recommended that this action be dismissed and Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. 2) be denied as moot. (Doc. 6, at 1.)

Neither party has filed objections to Magistrate Judge Steger's Report and Recommendation.¹ Nevertheless, the Court has conducted a reviewed the Report and Recommendation, as well as the record, and it agrees with Magistrate Judge Steger's

¹ Magistrate Judge Steger specifically advised the parties that they had 14 days in which to object to the Report and Recommendation and that failure to do so would waive his right to appeal. (Doc. 6, at 3); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); *see also* *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 148–51 (1985) (noting that “[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate’s factual or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings”). Even taking into account the three additional days for service provided by Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d), the period in which Plaintiff could timely file any objections has now expired.

well-reasoned conclusions. Accordingly, this action is hereby **DISMISSED**, and Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. 2) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

AN APPROPRIATE JUDGMENT WILL ENTER.

/s/ Travis R. McDonough

**TRAVIS R. MCDONOUGH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**